ORIGINAL PAPER

Xa3, conferring resistance for rice bacterial blight and encoding a receptor kinase-like protein, is the same as *Xa26*

Yi Xiang • Yinglong Cao • Caiguo Xu • Xianghua Li • Shiping Wang

Received: 10 March 2006 / Accepted: 3 August 2006 / Published online: 25 August 2006 © Springer-Verlag 2006

Abstract Xa3-mediated resistance for rice bacterial blight, one of the most devastating rice diseases worldwide, is influenced by genetic background. Xa3 is genetically tightly linked to Xa26, another gene for bacterial blight resistance. Xa26 belongs to a clustered multigene family encoding leucine-rich repeat (LRR) receptor kinase-like proteins. To characterize Xa3, we fine mapped it using a population segregating for only one resistance gene and markers developed from Xa26 family. Genetic analysis showed that Xa3 co-segregated with the marker of Xa26 gene and segregated from the markers of other members of Xa26 family. DNA fingerprinting revealed that rice line IRBB3 carrying Xa3 had the same copy numbers of Xa26 family members as rice line Minghui 63 carrying Xa26. Phenotypic comparison showed that all the rice lines carrying either Xa3 or Xa26 developed dark brown deposition at the border between the lesion caused by incompatible-pathogen infection and health leaf tissue, while other rice lines did not show this dark brown deposition in either incompatible or compatible interactions. These results suggest that Xa3 and Xa26 is the same gene. We name it Xa3/Xa26 to indicate the relationship between the two gene symbols. The putative encoding products of Xa3/Xa26 and its susceptible allele xa3/xa26 shared 92% sequence identity. The

Communicated by T. Sasaki

sequence difference occurred in the LRR domains, specifically at the solvent-exposed amino acid residues, might be the major cause that differentiates the resistant and susceptible proteins.

Introduction

Bacterial blight, caused by Xanthomonas oryzae pv. oryzae (Xoo), is one of the most serious rice diseases in the world. In total, 30 genes conferring host resistance against various strains of Xoo have been identified and these genes have been designated in a series from Xa1 to Xa29 (Lin et al. 1996; Nagato and Yoshimura 1998; Zhang et al. 1998; Khush and Angeles 1999; Chen et al. 2002; Lee et al. 2003; Yang et al. 2003; Tan et al. 2004). Several of the resistance (R) genes have been assigned with the same symbol by different researchers, such as the dominant R gene Xa26 and recessive R gene xa26(Lee et al. 2003; Yang et al. 2003), and two genes named as Xa25 (Gao et al. 2001; Chen et al. 2002). In addition, a few R genes have been assigned with two or more symbols. For example, Xa3 have also been named as Xa4^b, Xa6, and xa9 (Sidhu and Khush 1978; Ogawa et al. 1986a, b, c, 1988a). Only six of the 30 genes, Xa1, xa5, xa13, Xa21, Xa26, and Xa27, have been reported to be isolated. Xa21 and Xa26 encode leucine-rich repeat (LRR) receptor kinase-like proteins (Song et al. 1995; Sun et al. 2004). Xal encodes a nucleotide binding-LRR protein (Yoshimura et al. 1998). The recessive gene xa5 encodes the gamma subunit of transcription factor IIA (Iyer and McCouch 2004). Xa27 encodes a novel protein (Gu et al. 2005). The fully recessive gene xa13 encodes a novel plasma membrane protein (Chu et al. 2006).

Y. Xiang · Y. Cao · C. Xu · X. Li · S. Wang (⊠) National Key Laboratory of Crop Genetic Improvement, National Center of Plant Gene Research (Wuhan), Huazhong Agricultural University, Wuhan 430070, China e-mail: swang@mail.hzau.edu.cn

The Xa3 gene was first identified in a japonica variety Wase Aikoku 3 and designated as Xa-w (Ezuka et al. 1975), which was late named as Xa3 (Ogawa 1987). Xa3 has a relative wide resistance spectrum. Plants carrying Xa3 are resistant to six (races 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, and 9) of the nine Philippine *Xoo* races (Ogawa et al. 1986b; Zhang et al. 1998). Xa3 is mapped to the long arm of rice chromosome 11 and tightly linked to another bacterial blight resistance gene Xa4 (Yoshimura et al. 1992, 1995). The function of Xa3 is influenced by both genetic background and growth stage of host, which results in variable resistant spectrum and dominant or recessive resistance in Xa3-mediated defense (Sidhu and Khush 1978; Ogawa et al. 1986a, b, 1986c, 1988a). However, the mechanisms of the features of Xa3-mediated resistance are unknown, which leads to applicable difficulty of improving rice resistance using this gene.

The Xa26 gene was first identified in an *indica* rice cultivar Minghui 63 and mapped to rice chromosome 11 (Yang et al. 2003), where loci of other bacterial blight resistance genes, Xa3, Xa4, and Xa22(t), were tightly linked (Yoshimura et al. 1995; Lin et al. 1996). In addition to similar chromosomal location as Xa3, the function of Xa26 is also influenced by genetic background (Sun et al. 2004). Transgenic plants carrying Xa26 in a japonica background show enhanced resistance compared with Minghui 63. Minghui 63 is the restorer line for a number of rice hybrids that collectively have been planted for more than 20% of the total rice production area in China during the last two decades. The hybrids produced with Minghui 63 have a number of desirable characteristics including high yielding and wide adaptability. One of the important characteristics accounting for the wide adaptability of the hybrids produced with Minghui 63 is their resistance to Xoo. Thus, Xa26 is a durable resistance gene in rice production at least in China. Further study showed that two other rice lines, IRBB3 carrying presumably only one R gene, Xa3, for bacterial blight resistance (Ogawa et al. 1991) and Zhachanglong (GenBank accession DQ426646) carrying Xa22(t)(Lin et al. 1996), also carried Xa26 gene as revealed by comparative sequence analysis (Sun et al. 2004). Xa26 belongs to a multigene family. The four members of Xa26 family cluster in tandem (Sun et al. 2004). These results raise the question whether Xa3also encodes a LRR receptor kinase-like protein or another type protein.

Characterization of Xa3 is the first step to understand the molecular mechanism of Xa3-mediated resistance. To answer the above question, we fine mapped Xa3 using molecular markers developed from Xa26 family. The results show that Xa3 and Xa26 designated for the R genes in different rice lines are actually the same gene according to the results of fine genetic mapping, DNA fingerprinting and phenotypic comparison of different rice lines carrying different R genes for bacterial blight resistance. This gene is now also named as Xa3/Xa26 to indicate the relationship between the two gene symbols. Xa3/Xa26 encodes a LRR receptor kinase-like protein. Both Xa3/Xa26 and its susceptible allele xa3/xa26 are constitutively expressed. The resistant protein XA3/XA26 and susceptible protein xa3/ xa26 have 8% sequence divergence, which results in different functions of the two proteins in disease resistance.

Materials and methods

Xoo inoculation and disease evaluation

At the booting stage, five of the uppermost fully expanded leaves of each plant were inoculated with Philippine race 1 (PXO61), race 2 (PXO86) or race 6 (PXO99) of *Xoo* by leaf-clipping method (Kauffman et al. 1973) in a disease nursery. The preparation of bacterial inoculum was as described by Lin et al. (1996). Reaction to the pathogen was evaluated three weeks after inoculation by measuring the lesion length (cm) of three leaves.

Fine genetic mapping of *Xa3*

An F_2 population was used to determine the relationship of bacterial blight resistance genes Xa3 and Xa26 by fine genetic mapping of Xa3 using the probes of Xa26 gene family. This segregation population consisted of about 2,000 F_2 individuals from a cross between a resistance rice line IRBB3 (*Oryza sativa* ssp. *indica*) carrying Xa3 and its susceptible near-isogenic parental line IR24 (*O. sativa* ssp. *indica*) (Ogawa et al. 1986c, 1988b, 1991). IRBB3 is resistant to Xoo strain PXO86 and rice cultivar Minghui 63 carrying Xa26 gene is moderately susceptible to PXO86 (Ogawa et al. 1986c; Yang et al. 2003; Sun et al. 2004). To evaluate the relationship of Xa3 and Xa26, Xoo strain PXO86 was chosen to study the interaction of IRBB3 and Xoo.

Two restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP) markers, R1506 and S10559 commonly used by rice community, linked to *Xa26* gene (Sun et al. 2004) and three RFLP markers of *Xa26* gene family, 2/15B-29, M196-1 and Rkc developed in our lab, were used to screen the 317 highly susceptible individuals from the population. Markers 2/15B-29 and M196-1

were the subclones of *RKa*, a member of *Xa26* family, and *Xa26* gene, respectively (Sun et al. 2004). Marker Rkc, another member of *Xa26* family, was obtained by amplification of genomic DNA of rice cultivar Minghui 63 through polymerase chain reaction (PCR) using primers RKc-L

(5'-TGTTTCGAGTGGCATACAGC-3') and RKc-R (5'-ATGAGCCGAGCAATGATACC-3') (Sun et al. 2004).

Determining the sequence of susceptible allele xa3/xa26

PCR method was used to isolate overlapped fragments of the susceptible allele of Xa3/Xa26, xa3/xa26, from rice line IR24 using primers designed according to the sequences of Xa26 (Sun et al. 2004) and xa3/xa26. The primers based on Xa26 in rice line Minghui 63 were Mkb-F (5'-ATGGCTCTTGTTCGATTGCC-3'), Rkb-L (5'-GGCTTGCAAACTTTGGACAT-3'), Rkb-R (5'-GCTTCCCTTGTTCTGAGTGC-3'), Rkb-4F (5'-AGCGATGATAGCATGTTGGG-3'), Rkb-3R (5'-TCAGTGTCAAGACCACATCG-3'), and Tkb-R (5'-ACTGCTGCACAGCGTTC TC-3'). The primers based on the susceptible allele xa3/xa26 in rice line IR24 were TA1F (5'-GCCCT ATCCCTGGTAATAC A-3'), TA1R (5'-TTGCACGTCGACAAATCTAA-3'), TA2F (5'-TGCAATTCCAGAATCAATCA-3'), and TA2R (5'-TTCAAGCTAACAAGGGTCGT-3').

Overlapped transcript fragments of xa3/xa26 were obtained by reverse transcription (RT)-PCR. Total RNA was treated with DNase I (Invitrogen Life Technologies, Carlsbad, California, USA) to remove contaminating DNA and used for RT-PCR in a two-step reaction (Zhou et al. 2002). In brief, the RT step was performed in a 10 μ l volume that contained 0.5–1 μ g total RNA pretreated with DNase I, 50 ng primer, 100 U M-MLV reverse transcriptase (Promega Corporation, Madison, WI, USA), 1 X first-strand buffer (50 mmol/l Tris-HCl [pH 8.3], 75 mmol/l KCl, and 3 mmol/l MgCl₂), 20 mmol/l DTT, and 10 µmol/l each of dATP, dCTP, dGTP, and dTTP at 42°C for 1.5 h. The mixture was diluted by the addition of $40 \,\mu l$ of deionized water after the RT reaction, and 1 µl of the diluted mixture was used for PCR. The oligo $(dT)_{15}$ and gene-specific primer 5' race (5'-ATCAACCGG CA-3') were used for RT. The PCR primers were Mkb-F, TA1F, TA2F, TA2R, Rkb-L, S1 (5'-CGTAG AACTGGGAGGCTGAA-3'), and Rkb2R (5'-CA GTCCACCACATGGACAAG-3').

The genomic fragments and products of RT-PCR were directly sequenced using the gene-specific primers listed above or cloned into pGEM-T vector (Promega Corporation, Madison, USA) and then sequenced using M13 universal forward and reverse primers. Sequences were assembled using the computer program SEQUENCER 4.1.4 (Gene Codes Corporation, Ann Arbor, MI, USA).

Results

Segregation of resistance

In the present experimental condition, IRBB3 was highly resistant to PXO86 with an average lesion length of 1.0 ± 0.50 cm and IR24 was highly susceptible to PXO86 with an average lesion length of 20.3 ± 4.79 cm at three weeks after inoculation. Two hundred and sixty four F₂ individuals, randomly chosen from the mapping population developed from the cross between IRBB3 and IR24, were used to study the distribution of the lesion length caused by the infection of PXO86. The distribution of lesion length was bimodal with an apparent valley at approximate 7-9 cm (Fig. 1). Using a lesion length of 9 cm as the dividing point, the numbers of resistant (lesion length ≤ 9 cm) and susceptible (lesion length >9 cm) individuals were 202 and 62, respectively, which fit the expected 3:1 ratio ($\chi^2=0.25$, P > 0.5). This result suggests that the resistance of IRBB3 to PXO86 was controlled by one dominant gene.

Evaluation of the relationship of Xa3 and Xa26

The Xa26 gene family consists of four members, Xa26 or RKb, RKa, RKc, and RKd, clustered in tandem in a 40 kb region of rice cultivar Minghui 63; comparative sequence analysis showed that the alleles of Xa26 and RKa in IRBB3 were identical to those in Minghui 63, indicating that IRBB3 carries Xa26 gene (Sun et al. 2004). Further comparing the sequences flanking Xa26



Fig. 1 Distribution of lesion length in a sample containing 264 individuals from a F_2 population segregating for *Xa3* gene. The plants were inoculated with *Xoo* strain PXO86

in IRBB3 (GenBank accession DQ426645) and Minghui 63 (GenBank accession DQ355952) revealed one nucleotide-substitution occurring at 475 bp in front of translation initiation site and 12 nucleotide-substitutions at 602-624 bp region following the 3' untranslated region of Xa26. Two commonly used RFLP markers, R1506 and S10559 tightly linked to Xa26, and three markers, 2/15B-29, M196-1 and Rkc, of Xa26 family detected polymorphism between the parents of the mapping population, IRBB3 and IR24 (Fig. 2). These markers were used to screen the 317 highly susceptible individuals, which had the lesion length ranged from 9.5 to 27.5 cm, from the F_2 population. The results showed that Xa3 was flanked by markers R1506 and S10559 (Fig. 3). Five F_2 individuals (2-1, 3-105, 2-10, 3-143, and 3-186) from the 317 highly susceptible plants showed recombination events between the *Xa3* locus and the flanking marker R1506 (Figs. 2, 3). Another five susceptible F_2 plants (3-181, 3-79, 3-85, 3-202, and 3-216) showed recombination events between the Xa3 locus and another flanking marker S10559 (Figs. 2, 3). The markers of Xa26 family were further used to examine the 10 recombinants (Table 1). Marker 2/15B-29 of RKa gene detected three recombination events, placing itself 0.5 cm from Xa3 locus (Figs. 2, 3). Marker Rkc from *RKc* gene identified one



Fig. 2 Southern-blot analysis of total DNA from the parents, resistant IRBB3 (I) and susceptible IR24 (II), and the highly susceptible F_2 individuals of a F_2 population segregating for *Xa3* gene. The DNA was digested with either *Eco*RI or *Bam*HI, and hybridized with different probes. The hybridization patterns of the ten recombinant F_2 individuals (labeled with fingers) and their neighbor F_2 individuals (unlabeled) on nylon filters detected by each polymorphic probe are presented. The recombinant F_2 individuals are 2–1 (1), 3–105 (2), 2–10 (3), 3–143 (4), 3–186 (5), 3–79 (6), 3–85 (7), 3–181 (8), 3–202 (9), and 3–216 (10)



Fig. 3 A map covering the Xa3/Xa26 region in rice lines IRBB3 and Minghui 63. The upper part is the genetic map of Xa3 in IRBB3. The upper figures are the genetic distance between molecular markers or between molecular marker and Xa3 locus. The bottom figures are the number of recombination events between the Xa3 locus and the markers detected using 317 highly susceptible plants from a F₂ population segregating for Xa3. The lower part is the physical map of Xa26 gene family in Minghui 63 (Sun et al. 2004). The *horizontal arrows* indicate the positions and transcription orientations of Xa26 family members. The *dashed lines* indicate the positions of the markers relative to the members of Xa26 family

recombinant, which was different from the three recombinants detected by 2/15B29 (Table 1), placing itself 0.2 cm from Xa3 on another side of the Xa3 locus (Fig. 3). The Xa3 co-segregated with marker M196-1 of Xa26 gene in the 10 plants. These results suggest that Xa3 and Xa26 may be the same gene.

To verify the inference that Xa3 and Xa26 are the same gene, the following three approaches were applied. First, the marker of Xa26 gene, M196-1, was used to screen the 264 F₂ individuals (Fig. 1) randomly chosen from the mapping population to examine the relationship between marker genotypes and resistance. The result showed that all the 199 plants with lesion length shorter than 9.0 cm were either homozygous for the IRBB3 allele or heterozygote at Xa26 locus. Whereas all the 58 plants with lesion length longer than 9.5 cm were homozygous for the IR24 allele, only seven plants had lesion length between 9.0 and 9.5 cm; four of them were homozygote. Thus the segregation of Xa26 genotype agrees well with the resistance in this population.

Second, the copy number of Xa26 family members in rice line IRBB3 was evaluated. The coding regions of Xa26 family members (GenBank accession DQ355952), Xa26, RKa and RKc, share 62–78% sequence identity in rice variety Minghui 63 (Sun et al. 2004). The probes of the three members of Xa26 family were used to examine the copy numbers of the homologous genes in different rice lines. Rice lines IRBB3 and Zhachanglong showed a similar DNA fingerprint pattern to Minghui 63, suggesting that the two rice lines carry the same numbers of Xa26 family member as Minghui 63 (Fig. 4). Thus there should be no other

Marker	Individuals ^a									
	2–1	3-105	2–10	3–143	3–186	3–181	3–79	3–85	3-202	3-216
R1506	Н	Н	Н	Н	Н	S	S	S	S	S
2/15B-29	S	S	Н	Н	Н	S	S	S	S	S
M196–1	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S
Rkc	S	S	S	S	S	Н	S	S	S	S
S10559	S	S	S	S	S	Н	Н	Н	Н	Н

Table 1 Molecular marker genotypes of ten susceptible F₂ recombinant individuals

^a S and H stand for the homozygous susceptible and heterozygous genotypes, respectively, of the F_2 recombinants from the cross between the resistant and susceptible near-isogenic parents, IRBB3 and IR24.

Xa26 family member locating between *Xa3* locus and marker 2/15B-29, M196-1 or Rkc (Fig. 3).

Thirdly, the lesion appearance of different rice lines that were known to carry Xa3 or Xa26 upon infection was compared. It has been known that rice lines carrying Xa3 gene present dark brown deposition at the border between the lesion and health leaf tissue after incompatible-Xoo infection (Kaku et al. 1978). Four rice lines, Zhachanglong, Minghui 63, Rb17-2 and IRBB3 carrying Xa26 gene and a set of near-isogenic rice lines, IRBB3, IRBB4, IRBB10, IRBB13, IRBB21, and IR24, carrying Xa3 or other R genes against Xoo or being susceptible to Xoo and having the same genetic background, were inoculated with incompatible or compatible-Xoo strain. The four Xa3- or Xa26carrying lines, Zhachanglong, Minghui 63, Rb17-2 and IRBB3, all developed the dark brown deposition on the border between the lesion and health leaf tissue (Fig. 5). Whereas no such brown border deposition was observed in other rice lines either carrying at least one



Fig. 4 Southern-blot analysis of the total DNA from rice lines Zhachanglong, Minghui 63, IRBB3 and IR24. The DNA was digested with *Bam*HI, *Hin*dIII, or *Kpn*I and hybridized with a mixed probe of 2/15B-29, M196–1 and Rkc

of other four *R* genes for *Xoo* resistance or being susceptible to *Xoo*. According to the lesion feature, the correlation of resistance and *Xa26*-genotype, DNA fingerprint and the result of fine genetic mapping, it can be confirmed that *Xa3* and *Xa26* are the two symbols for one gene. This gene is described as Xa3/Xa26 in the following text.

The susceptible allele *xa3/xa26*

Comparative analysis of the genomic and cDNA sequences of xa3/xa26 from susceptible rice line IR24 (GenBank accession DQ426647) showed that the coding region of the gene was 3,300 bp in length and interrupted by one intron of 106 bp. The coding region of xa3/xa26 shared 96% sequence identity with that of Xa3/Xa26 from resistant rice lines IRBB3 and Minghui 63 (Sun et al. 2004). The putative encoding product of xa3/xa26 consisted of 1,100 amino acids as compared to 1,103 amino acids encoded by the resistance Xa3/Xa26. The susceptible and resistant proteins shared 92% sequence identity and 94% sequence similarity (Fig. 6). According to the domain alignment of the resistant protein (Sun et al. 2004), xa3/xa26 and XA3/XA26 had sequence divergence, which occurred in all the characterized structures of a LRR-receptor kinase protein, including signal peptide, LRR domain, transmembrane region and kinase domain. Approximate half (41) of the divergent sites between the susceptible and resistant proteins occurred in the kinase domain (Fig. 6). In addition, there were 25 divergent sites with eight being solvent-exposed amino acid residues in the LRR domain.

Discussion

The present results suggest that the genes, designated as Xa3 and Xa26 in rice lines IRBB3 and Minghui 63, respectively, are actually the same gene. We suggest naming it Xa3/Xa26 to indicate the relationship the two gene symbols. The following evidences support



MDJ8 ZCL MH63 Rb17-2 IRBB3 IRBB4 IRBB10 IRBB13 IRBB21 IR24 IR24 IR24 IRBB3 PXO61 PXO61 PXO61 PXO61 PXO86 PXO61 PXO86 PXO99 PXO86 PXO99 PXO61 PXO61

Fig. 5 Leaves from different rice lines at 3 weeks after inoculation with *Xoo* strains PXO61, PXO86 or PXO99. The IRBB3, IRBB4, IRBB10, IRBB13 and IRBB21 are *indica* near-isogenic lines known to carry bacterial blight resistance genes *Xa3*, *Xa4*, *Xa10*, *xa13*, and *Xa21*, respectively (Ogawa et al. 1991). These near-isogenic lines all have the genetic background of IR24 that is susceptible to *Xoo*. IRBB3, *indica* rice cultivar Minghui 63

this conclusion. First, genetic analysis further confirmed that a single dominant gene mediated the resistance of IRBB3 to Xoo. IRBB3 is known to contain only one R gene, named as Xa3, for bacterial blight resistance (Ogawa et al. 1991). Thus, the gene mapped in this study should be Xa3. The Xa3 locus co-segregated with M196-1, the marker of Xa26 gene. The other genes of Xa26 family, RKa and RKc that were tightly linked to Xa26 from two sides, segregated from Xa3 locus. DNA fingerprinting indicates that IRBB3 does not carry additional copies of Xa26 family members compared with Minghui 63. Since sequence analysis has revealed that IRBB3 carries both Xa26 and RKa (Sun et al. 2004), the resistance locus co-segregated with M196-1 in IRBB3 should be Xa26. In other words, Xa3 and Xa26 represent one gene.

Second, rice lines carrying either Xa3 or Xa26 showed the same lesion appearance in the interaction with Xoo. It had been reported that rice lines carrying Xa3 developed dark brown deposition at the border between the lesion caused by incompatible-Xoo infection and the health leaf tissue (Kaku et al. 1978). The present results

(MH63), *japonica* rice Zhachanglong (ZCL) and transgenic rice line Rb17-2 that has the genetic background of *japonica* rice Mudanjiang 8 (MDJ8) carry Xa26 gene (Sun et al. 2004). Mudanjiang 8 is susceptible to Xoo. All the rice lines carrying either Xa3 gene or Xa26 gene show dark brown deposition (*arrows*) locating at the border between the lesion and health tissue after being inoculated with incompatible pathogen PXO61 or PXO86

showed that all the four rice lines carrying Xa3 or Xa26 presented this type of phenotypic reaction. However, no such dark brown deposition at the border of lesion was observed in other rice lines having the same genetic background as those carrying Xa3 or Xa26 in either incompatible or compatible interactions.

Lastly, the function of the gene designated either as Xa3 or Xa26 in different rice varieties is apparently influenced by genetic background. Xa3 is the most misapprehended resistance gene in the literature of rice bacterial blight studies. It behaved very differently in different genetic backgrounds and different growth stages, and it even displayed dominance reversal in one case (Sidhu and Khush 1978; Ogawa et al. 1986a, b, 1986c, 1988a). That is why several symbols, $Xa4^b$, Xa6, and xa9, have been assigned to this gene. Xa26 gene also showed different resistance spectrum in different rice lines, including its original carriers, indica rice lines Minghui 63 and IRBB3 as well as japonica rice Zhachanglong, and Xa26-transgenic line (Rb17-2) with the background of *japonica* rice Mudanjiang 8 (Yang et al. 2003; Sun et al. 2004). In addition to the difference of



Fig. 6 Comparison of the predicted amino acid sequences of XA3/XA26 from rice lines IRBB3 and Minghui 63 and xa3/xa26 from rice line IR24. The identical amino acid residues of the two proteins are highlighted by *solid black shade*. The characterized structures of XA3/XA26 are indicated according to previous publication (Sun et al. 2004). The signal peptide sequence is *double*

resistance spectrum, *Xa26* performed variably at different growth stages in different genetic backgrounds (Yang et al. 2003; Sun et al. 2004). It conferred full resistance at both seedling and adult stages in the backgrounds of Minghui 63 and Mudanjiang 8, but it only conferred partial resistance at seedling stage and full resistance at adult stage in IRBB3.

Most of the characterized R genes and their susceptible alleles encode different proteins that determine the initiation of resistance or pathogenesis in host plants upon pathogen infection (Martin et al. 2003). Even a single amino acid difference can distinguish an R gene and its susceptible allele (Bryan et al. 2000). The exceptions are rice bacterial blight resistance gene Xa27 and xa13; resistant and susceptible alleles of the two genes encode identical proteins (Gu et al. 2005; Chu et al. 2006). Induced expression of resistant allele but not susceptible allele upon infection is the basis of Xa27-mediated resistance, while promoter mutation resulting in down-regulation of expression during host-

underlined. The region of LRR domain is indicated by a pair of *solid arrows*. The transmembrane region *is underlined*. The region of kinase domain is indicated by a pair of *hollow arrows*. The divergent solvent-exposed amino acid residues are marked with *asterisks*

pathogen interaction is the basis of xa13-mediated resistance. Xa3/Xa26 in IRBB3 and Minghui 63 and xa3/xa26 in IR24 are constitutively expressed, which is not influenced by the inoculation of Xoo (Sun et al. 2004). IRBB3 and IR24 are near-isogenic lines that presumably have genetic difference only at Xa3/Xa26 locus. Thus, the different reactions of IRBB3 and IR24 to Xoo could attribute to the sequence divergence between XA3/XA26 and xa3/xa26 proteins. The solvent-exposed amino acid residues of LRR domain in R proteins are suggested to form a solvent-exposed surface that is involved in ligand binding (Jones and Jones 1997). It has been reported that the hypervariability of solvent-exposed amino acids correlates with differential pathogen recognition (Parniske et al. 1997; Thomas et al. 1997; Botella et al. 1998; Dixon et al. 1998; McDowell et al. 1998; Meyers et al. 1998; Ellis et al. 1999). Thus, the sequence difference occurred in the LRR domains, specifically at the solvent-exposed amino acid residues, may be the major cause that

differentiates the resistant and susceptible proteins. Large numbers of divergent amino acid sites were also detected in the kinase domains between XA3/XA26 and xa3/xa26 proteins. Since xa3/xa26 is constitutively expressed in rice line IR24 (Sun et al. 2004), further study is needed to examine whether the xa3/xa26 gene has other function in rice.

Acknowledgments We thank the International Rice Research Institute for providing the rice near isogenic lines of bacterial blight resistance and the Philippine strains of *Xoo*. This work was supported by grants from the National Program on the Development of Basic Research in China and the National Natural Science Foundation of China.

References

- Botella MA, Parker JE, Frost LN, Bittner-Eddy PD, Beynon JL, Daniels MJ, Holub EB, Jones JDG (1998) Three genes of the Arabidopsis *RPP1* complex resistance locus recognize distinct *Peronospora parasitica* avirulence determinants. Plant Cell 10:1847–1860
- Bryan GT, Wu KS, Farrall L, Jia Y, Hershey HP, McAdams SA, Faulk KN, Donaldson GK, Tarchini R, Valent B (2000) A single amino acid difference distinguishes resistant and susceptible alleles of the rice blast resistance gene *Pi-ta*. Plant Cell 12:2033–2046
- Chen H, Wang S, Zhang Q (2002) A new gene for bacterial blight resistance in rice located on chromosome 12 identified from Minghui 63, and elite restorer line. Phytopathology 92:750–754
- Chu Z, Yuan M, Yao J, Ge X, Yuan B, Xu C, Li X, Fu B, Li Z, Bennetzen JL, Zhang Q, Wang S (2006) Promoter mutations of an essential gene for pollen development result in disease resistance in rice. Gene Dev (in press)
- Dixon MS, Hatzixanthis K, Jones DA, Harrison K, Jones JDG (1998) The tomato Cf-5 disease resistance gene and six homologs show pronounced allelic variation in leucine rich repeat copy number. Plant Cell 10:1915–1925
- Ellis JG, Lawrence GJ, Luck JE, Dodds PN (1999) The identification of regions in alleles of the flax rust resistance gene Lthat determine differences in gene-for-gene specificity. Plant Cell 11:495–506
- Ezuka A, Horino O, Toriyama K, Shinoda H, Morinaka T (1975) Inheritance of resistance of rice variety Wase Aikoku 3 to *Xanthomonas oryzae*. Bull Tokai-Kinki Natl Agric Exp Stn 28:124–130
- Gao DY, Xu ZG, Chen ZY, Sun LH, Sun QM, Lu F, Hu BS, Liu YE, Tang LH (2001) Identification of a new gene for resistance to bacterial blight in a somaticlonal mutant HX-3 (*indica*). Rice Genet Newsl 18:66–68
- Gu K, Yang B, Tian D, Wu L, Wang D, Sreekala C, Yang F, Chu Z, Wang G-L, White FF, Yin Z (2005) *R* gene expression induced by a type-III effector triggers disease resistance in rice. Nature 435:1122–1125
- Iyer AS, McCouch SR (2004) The rice bacterial blight resistance gene xa5 encodes a novel form of disease resistance. Mol Plant-Microbe Interact 17:1348–1354
- Jones DA, Jones JDG (1997) The role of leucine-rich repeat proteins in plant defense. Adv Bot Res 24:89–167
- Kaku H, Kamura T (1978) Reaction types of rice cultivars to strains of *Xanthomonas oryzae*. Bull Chugoku Natl Agric Exp Stn E 13:17–43

- Kauffman HE, Reddy APK, Hsieh SPY, Merca SD (1973) An improved technique for evaluating resistance of rice varieties to *Xanthomonas oryzae*. Plant Dis Rep 57:537–541
- Khush GS, Angeles ER (1999) A new gene for resistance to race 6 of bacterial blight in rice, *Oryza sativa* L. Rice Genet Newsl 16:92–93
- Lee KS, Rasabandith S, Angeles ER, Khush GS (2003) Inheritance of resistance to bacterial blight in 21 cultivars of rice. Phytopathology 93:147–152
- Lin XH, Zhang DP, Xie YF, Gao HP, Zhang Q (1996) Identifying and mapping a new gene for bacterial blight resistance in rice based on RFLP markers. Phytopathology 86:1156–1159
- Martin GB, Bogdanove AJ, Sessa G (2003) Understanding the functions of plant disease resistance proteins. Annu Rev Plant Biol 54:23–61
- McDowell JM, Dhandaydham M, Long TA, Aarts MGM, Goff S, Holub EB, Dangl JL (1998) Intragenic recombination and diversifying selection contribute to the evolution of downy mildew resistance at the *RPP8* locus of Arabidopsis. Plant Cell 10:1861–1887
- Meyers BC, Shen KA, Rohani P, Gaut BS, Michelmore RW (1998) Receptor-like genes in the major resistance locus of lettuce are subject to divergent selection. Plant Cell 10:1833–1846
- Nagato Y, Yoshimura A (1998) Report of the committee on gene symbolization, nomenclature and linkage groups. Rice Genet Newsl 15:13–74
- Ogawa T (1987) Gene symbols for resistance to bacterial leaf blight. Rice Genet Newsl 4:41–43
- Ogawa T, Tabien RE, Busto GA, Khush GS, Mew TW (1986a) The relationships between *Xa-3*, *Xa-4*, and *Xa-4^b* for resistances to rice bacterial blight. Rice Genet Newsl 3:83–84
- Ogawa T, Yamamoto T, Khush GS, Mew TW (1986b) Inheritance of resistance to bacterial blight in Sateng-A reinvestigation. Rice Genet Newsl 3:80–82
- Ogawa T, Yamamoto T, Khush GS, Mew TW (1986c) The *Xa-3* gene for resistance to Philippine races of bacterial blight of rice. Rice Genet Newsl 3:77–78
- Ogawa T, Busto GA, Tabien RE, Khush GS (1988a) Further study of $Xa-4^b$ gene for resistance to bacterial blight of rice. Rice Genet Newsl 5:104–106
- Ogawa T, Yamamoto T, Khush GS, Mew TW, Kaku H (1988b) Near-isogenic lines as international differentials for resistance to bacterial blight or rice. Rice Genet Newsl 5:106–107
- Ogawa T, Yamamoto T, Khush GS, Mew TW (1991) Breeding of near-isogenic lines of rice with single genes for resistance to bacterial blight pathogen (*Xanthomonas campestris* pv. *oryzae*). Japan J Breed 41:523–529
- Parniske M, Hammond-Kosack KE, Goldstein C, Thomas CM, Jones DA, Harrison K, Wulff BBH, Jones JDG (1997) Novel resistance specificities result from sequence exchange between tandemly repeated genes at the *Cf4/Cf9* locus of tomato. Cell 91:821–832
- Sidhu GS, Khush GS (1978) Dominance reversal of a bacterial blight resistance gene in some rice cultivars. Phytopathology 68:461–463
- Song WY, Wang GL, Chen LL, Kim HS, Pi LY, Holsten T, Gardner J, Wang B, Zhai WX, Zhu LH, Fauquet C, Ronald P (1995). A receptor kinase-like protein encoded by the rice disease resistance gene, *Xa21*. Science 270:1804–1806
- Sun X, Cao Y, Yang Z, Xu C, Li X, Wang S, Zhang Q (2004) Xa26, a gene conferring resistance to Xanthomonas oryzae pv. oryzae in rice, encodes an LRR receptor kinase-like protein. Plant J 37:517–527
- Tan GX, Ren X, Weng QM, Shi ZY, Zhu LL, He GC (2004) Mapping of a new resistance gene to bacterial blight in rice

line introgressed from Oryza officinalis. Acta Genet Sin 31:724-729

- Thomas CM, Jones DA, Parniske M, Harrison K, Balint-Kurti PJ, Hatzixanthis K, Jones JDG (1997) Characterization of the tomato *Cf-4* gene for resistance to *Cladosporium fulvum* identifies sequences that determine recognitional specificity in Cf-4 and Cf-9. Plant Cell 9:2209–2224
- Yang Z, Sun X, Wang S, Zhang Q (2003) Genetic and physical mapping of a new gene for bacterial blight resistance in rice. Theor Appl Genet 106:1467–1472
- Yoshimura S, Yoshimura A, Saito A, Kishimoto N, Kawase M, Yano M, Nakagahra M, Ogawa T, Iwata N (1992) RFLP analysis of introgressed chromosomal segments in three near-isogenic lines of rice for bacterial blight resistance genes, Xa-1, Xa-3 and Xa-4. Jpn J Genet 67:29–37
- Yoshimura S, Yoshimura A, Iwata N, McCouch SR, Abenes ML, Baraoidan MR, Mew TW, Nelson RJ (1995) Tagging and

combining bacterial blight resistance genes in rice using RAPD and RFLP markers. Mol Breed 1:375–387

- Yoshimura S, Yamanouchi U, Katayose Y, Toki S, Wang ZX, Kono I, Kuruta N, Yano M, Iwata N, Sasaki T (1998) Expression of Xa1, a bacterial blight resistance gene in rice, is induced by bacterial inoculation. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 95:1663–1668
- Zhang Q, Lin SC, Zhao BY, Wang CL, Yang WC, Zhou YL, Li DY, Chen CB, Zhu LH (1998) Identification and tagging a new gene for resistance to bacterial blight (*Xanthomonas* oryzae pv. oryzae) from O. rufipogon. Rice Genet Newsl 15:138–142
- Zhou B, Peng K, Chu Z, Wang S, Zhang Q (2002) The defenseresponsive genes showing enhanced and repressed expression after pathogen infection in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). Sci China (Series C) 45:450–467